

AFD Ep 205 Net Neutrality Internet Utilities and Nuclear Constitutionalism

- Net neutrality & internet utility socialization
 - <https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2017/11/today-and-every-day-we-fight-defend-open-internet>
 - <https://techcrunch.com/2017/11/25/fcc-doubles-down-on-its-dead-wrong-definition-of-how-the-internet-works/>
 - https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/why-fcc-chairman-ajit-pai-is-clbrs-zero-of-the-year_us_5a1b1435e4b0bf1467a84790
 - <https://www.forbes.com/sites/stevensalzberg/2017/11/26/when-the-fcc-kills-net-neutrality-heres-what-your-internet-will-look-like/#5409637e4c68>
 - <https://www.thenation.com/article/if-trumps-fcc-repeals-net-neutrality-elites-will-rule-the-internet-and-the-future/>
 - <https://www.salon.com/2017/11/25/at-least-1-3-million-comments-opposed-to-net-neutrality-were-likely-fake-report/>
 - <https://www.engadget.com/2017/11/25/over-1-3-million-anti-net-neutrality-fcc-comments-are-fakes/>
 - <https://www.fightforthefuture.org/news/2017-11-21-net-neutrality-protests-to-hit-verizon-stores/>
 - <https://act.demandprogress.org/event/team-internet/1373>
 - <http://techland.time.com/2012/07/25/how-government-did-and-didnt-invent-the-internet/>
 - <https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/yes-government-researchers-really-did-invent-the-internet/>
 - <https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/economy/reports/2012/12/10/47481/the-high-return-on-investment-for-publicly-funded-research/>
 - <https://amp.dailydot.com/layer8/nationalize-internet-net-neutrality/>
 - <http://www.ozy.com/politics-and-power/the-battle-for-the-internet-in-rural-america/75685>
 - <https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2017/11/voters-reject-cable-lobby-misinformation-campaign-against-muni-broadband/>
- Review of nuclear command authority (and constitutional implications)
 - https://www.washingtonpost.com/powerpost/senators-deadlock-in-debate-over-wether-to-restrain-trumps-nuclear-launch-authority/2017/11/14/491a994a-c95b-11e7-8321-481fd63f174d_story.html?utm_term=.a3f1b36f798c
 - “There are legitimate disputes when it comes to the power of the president and the power of Congress,” said **Sen. James E. Risch (R-Idaho)**. He warned that “every single word that’s uttered in this hearing is going to be analyzed in Pyongyang,” and might lead the North Korean government

to question the United States' resolve to deter the regime's nuclear aggression.

- Nuclear Posture Review (& \$1.7 trillion in overhauls over 30 years):
<http://billmoyers.com/story/the-trump-doctrine-3/>
- <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/senate-bill/2016/text?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22markey%22%5D%7D>
- <http://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/foreign-policy/360055-time-to-reverse-nuclear-launch-policy> (Arms Control Association op-ed)...

Congress should explore practical options such as:

- *Requiring that a decision to use nuclear weapons be made by more than one person. This could include the president, vice president, secretaries of state and defense, and perhaps one or more designated members of Congress, such as the speaker of the House or Senate majority leader.*
- *Eliminating the requirement to launch intercontinental ballistic missiles under attack, which would increase the time available to consider the possible use of nuclear weapons in retaliation to a nuclear attack against the United States or its allies.*